Studying Thermochemical Conversion of Sm$_2$O$_3$ to SmCl$_3$ using AlCl$_3$ in LiCl-KCl Eutectic Melt
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ABSTRACT

In this report the thermochemical conversion of Sm$_2$O$_3$ to SmCl$_3$ using AlCl$_3$ in LiCl-KCl melt at 773 K is discussed. The final product was a mixture of SmCl$_3$, Al$_2$O$_3$, unreacted Sm$_2$O$_3$ and AlCl$_3$ in the chloride melt. The electrochemical attributes of the mixture was analyzed with cyclic voltammetry (CV) and square wave voltammetry (SWV). The crystallographic phases of the mixture were studied with X-ray diffraction (XRD) technique. The major chemical conversion was optimized by varying the effective parameters, such as concentrations of AlCl$_3$, duration of reaction and the amount of LiCl-KCl salt. The extent of conversion and qualitative assessment of efficiency of the present protocol were evaluated with fluorescence spectroscopy, UV-Vis spectrophotometry and inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) studies of the mixture. Thus, a critical assessment of the thermochemical conversion efficiency was accomplished by analysing the amount of SmCl$_3$ in LiCl-KCl melt. In the process, a conversion efficiency of 95% was achieved by doubling the stoichiometric requirement of AlCl$_3$ in 50 g of LiCl-KCl salt. The conversion reaction was found to be very fast as the reaction reached equilibrium in 15 min.
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1. Introduction

It is reported that researchers at Idaho National Laboratory (INL) in conjunction with Argonne National Laboratory (ANL) have developed a molten salt electrorefining based promising non-aqueous pyrochemical reprocessing of metal fuels, U-Pu-Zr and U-Zr discharged from fast reactors [1-3]. This method offers various advantages, such as it enables handling of short cooled high burn up fuels, allows compact process equipments for reaction, it is criticality less hazardous, generates minimum wastes and importantly, it offers resistance to nuclear proliferation of the product [4-9].

Electrorefining (ER) is the main process step in pyrochemical reprocessing, which is carried out at about 773 K in eutectic LiCl-KCl electrolyte where actinides are separated from fission products [10-12]. In ER, spent fuel is loaded in anode basket of the ER cell and dissolved in the electrolyte chemically with addition of CdCl$_2$ or by anodic dissolution. Around 6 wt.% of actinide chlorides are loaded into LiCl-KCl eutectic during the electrorefining for better electrotransport [2,3]. The separation is based on the thermodynamic stability of the chlorides of the actinides and the fission products. U is selectively deposited on solid steel electrode and Pu, minor actinides (Np, Am, Cm) along with remaining U are co-deposited on liquid cadmium cathode leaving the fission products in the electrolyte and anode. The cathode deposits are consolidated by distillation of LiCl-KCl salt or cadmium for recovery of U and Pu from solid and liquid Cd cathodes, respectively. After several batches of ER run, the electrolyte is loaded with fission products, which results poor separation factors for actinides and lanthanides. Hence purification of the electrolyte is carried by actinide drawdown pro-
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cess to recover left out (2 wt.%) actinides using Li-
Cd alloy [13]. A-4 Zeolite column is used to remove
alkali, alkaline earth metals and fission products from
the salt. The zeolites loaded with fission products are
converted to glass bonded ceramic waste. The noble
metals and cladding hulls in anode basket are con-
verted to metal waste by making alloy with 15% Zr
[14]. This reprocessing method is suitable for oxide
fuel also where the first step is the reduction of oxide
to metal and then followed by the electrorefining
similar as metal fuel [15,16].

Conventionally, the entire pyrochemical reprocess-
ing is carried out in protected environment under
inert Ar atmosphere. This is because of the hygro-
scopic and air sensitive nature of the metal (actinides,
lanthanides) chlorides, spent metallic fuel, electrolyte
salt LiCl-KCl, actinide electrodeposits, among oth-
ers [14,17,18]. Thus, utmost care is taken to prevent
contamination of the chloride salts with the trace
amount of O
2
 in Ar gas, or unintended ingress of
O
2
 through gauntlets, rubber gaskets/O-rings, leaky
joints of the containment chamber, etc. This precau-
tion is necessary because O
2
 converts actinide and
lanthanide chlorides to insoluble oxides in LiCl-KCl
media, which precipitate and subsequently accumu-
late in the process vessels. This oxidation leads to a
decrease in the process efficiency and renders the
products contaminated with impurities. Therefore,
the conversion of oxides of actinides to their tri-chlo-
rides and in turn, using these as electrolytes are very
important aspect in pyrochemical reprocessing.

About one-fourth of the total fission products pro-
duced in the nuclear fission of U and Pu are lanthanides
(Ln=La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm and Eu) [19]. Lanthanides (Ln)
have similar chemical properties as actinides and
therefore, during conversion of actinide oxides to its
chlorides, Ln oxides may react with the chlorinating
agent and form chlorides. In the process, the thermo-
dynamic stability of the chlorides and the kinetics of
the reactions determine the final products. Therefore,
a detailed study of the conversion process of Ln is
very important to control the efficiency of the con-
version process of actinides. In the last few decades,
many researchers have reported the chlorination of
actinide and Ln oxides. Liu et al. [20] have reported
chlorination of Sm
O
3
 to SmCl
3
 using AlCl
3
 and sub-
sequent electrochemical extraction of Sm from the
chloride melt. Authors have reported a chlorination
efficiency of 95.4%, but have not elaborated on the
optimization of the parameters, such as concentra-
tion of AlCl
3
, duration of reaction and the amount of
LiCl-KCl to achieve the reported conversion effi-
ciency. Martincic et al. [21] have reported synthesis
of dry SmCl
3
 from Sm
O
3
. The presence of SmCl
3
 as
final product is confirmed by synchrotron X-ray pow-
der diffraction technique. Papa待inorou et al. [22]
have studied vapour complexes of samarium (III) and
samarium (II) with AlCl
3
. Authors have reported the
preparation of SmCl
3
, NdCl
3
, NdBr
3
, PrCl
3
, ErCl
3
,
YCl
3
, ThCl
4
, UC1
3
 and UCl
4
 by reacting with corre-
sponding oxides with AlCl
3
 or AlBr
3
. Liu et al. [23]
have reported the separation of UO
2
 from Ln oxides
(La, Nd, Ce, Sm) using AlCl
3
 in LiCl-KCl melt. Thus,
AlCl
3
 is widely used for conversion and extraction of
rare earths from its corresponding oxides (Gd
O
3
, CeO
3
, Pr
O
3
, Eu
O
3
, Yb
O
3
, Nd
O
3
) using Al electrode or by co-deposition with A
1
+ ions
[24-31]. In addition, literatures are also available on
the chlorination of actinide oxides and Ln oxides by
using ZrCl
4
 [32] and NH
4
Cl as well [33,34].

Though feasibility studies on the chlorination of
Ln
2
O
3
(Ln: lanthanides) with AlCl
3
 have been widely
reported, yet factors affecting the efficiency of the
conversion process as well as optimum condition for
getting maximum conversion have not been studied
in details. Thus, in order to delve deep into the chlori-
nation of Ln
2
O
3
, in this work, conversion reactions of
Sm
2
O
3
 to SmCl
3
 with AlCl
3
 are taken up. Sm being
neutron poison, it needs to be removed from spent
metallic fuel in the electrorefining step of pyrochemi-
cal reprocessing. Hence, detail studies on conversion
of Sm
2
O
3
 in LiCl-KCl are essential for further process-
ing of the processed salt. The optimum conditions for
achieving higher thermochemical conversion efficiency
are also undertaken. Presence of SmCl
3
 in LiCl-KCl
is confirmed by electrochemical analysis and X-ray
diffraction technique. UV-Vis and fluorescence spec-
troscopy are employed to confirm the conversion of
Sm
2
O
3
 to SmCl
3
. Influences of the amount of electro-
lyte LiCl-KCl salt, concentration of AlCl
3
 and dura-
tion of reaction on the efficiency of the conversion
process of oxides are studied in details.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents

LiCl (M/s Sigma Aldrich, anhydrous, 99 wt.%),
KCl (M/s Alfa Aesar, anhydrous, 99 wt.%), AgCl
(M/s Alfa Aesar, anhydrous, 99.99 wt.%), AlCl₃ (M/s Alfa Aesar, anhydrous, 99.985 wt.%), anhydrous SmCl₃ (M/s Alfa Aesar, 99.99 wt.%) and Sm₂O₃ (M/s Indian Rare Earths, 99.9 wt.%) were used in the present study. Quartz distilled HNO₃ (M/s Merck, India) was used in the chemical analysis. De-ionized water (18 MΩ) was used for the preparation of samples.

2.2. Preparation of LiCl-KCl eutectic and LiCl-KCl-1 wt.% AgCl

All chemicals were handled inside a glove box in Ar ambient at 20 to 60 mm of water column pressure. Oxygen and moisture impurity levels of the glove box were maintained below 10 ppm. A rotary vacuum pump (ED-15, M/s Hindhivic Private Ltd., Bangalore, India) was used to dry LiCl and KCl eutectic mixture (mole ratio of LiCl:KCl = 58.8:41.2, m.p. 626 K) at 425 K for 120 h. The vacuum dried LiCl-KCl mixture (300 g) was loaded in alumina crucible and placed inside a chlorination vessel, which was made of quartz bottom cell attached with a teflon top flange. The salt was melted in chlorine gas atmosphere. After melting, chlorine gas was bubbled through the melt for 0.5 h at 773 K. Subsequently, Ar gas was bubbled through the melt for about 1 h to remove excess chlorine. Finally, the salt was cooled under Ar gas flow and stored inside a glove box.

LiCl-KCl-1wt.% AgCl was prepared by grinding the required amount of AgCl in a mortar pestle, thoroughly mixing with purified LiCl-KCl salt inside the Ar box and chlorinating at 773 K following a similar method as described above for blank LiCl-KCl salt.

2.3. Conversion reaction of Sm₂O₃

Both AlCl₃ (S) and Sm₂O₃ (S) were dried inside the glove box to remove any moisture present in these before performing the conversion experiments. Weight loss profile of Sm₂O₃ was studied with thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA/SDTA 851; M/s. Mettler Toledo Instrument Co. Ltd, Switzerland) in the temperature range from room temperature (300 K) to 1273 K.

The conversion reaction was carried out inside the argon glove box pit, which was attached with a split type furnace at the bottom of the glove box with a provision of cooling by recirculating chilled water. The schematic diagram of experimental set up for conversion reaction is given in supplementary data (see supporting Fig. S1). LiCl-KCl eutectic mixture was loaded in alumina crucible, which was kept inside a secondary iron crucible in the pit. After melting the salt, pre-dried Sm₂O₃ and AlCl₃ were added to the melt at 773 K under continuous stirring with an in-house fabricated tantalum stirrer at 400 rpm. The experimental temperature of 773 K in the present study was selected as per the standard operating temperature of similar pyrochemical process above the melting point (626 K) of LiCl-KCl eutectic melt [23].

2.4. Spectroscopic analysis

After conversion reactions, the salts retrieved at the end of the above process were dissolved in H₂O and filtered using Whatman-42 paper. Chloride salts such as LiCl, KCl, SmCl₃ and AlCl₃ were dissolved in water while oxides were insoluble in nature. The filtrate (sample F-1) was stabilized with dilute HNO₃ (0.01 M) to avoid hydrolysis and was analysed for Sm and Al. The water insoluble part (containing Sm₂O₃ and Al₂O₃) was dissolved in 8 M HNO₃ and filtered. In this, only Sm₂O₃ was dissolved while Al₂O₃ was insoluble. The dissolved portion (sample F-2) was analysed for Sm and the amount of unreacted Sm₂O₃ was calculated. Standard SmCl₃ solution was prepared by dissolving commercially procured SmCl₃ (Alfa Aesar, 99.99 wt.%) in water and adjusting the solution with 0.01 M HNO₃.

Sample F-1 and F-2 were analysed for quantitative estimation of Sm with a spectrophotometer (AvaSpec-2048, Netherlands) in the range of 220-480 nm. Fluorescence spectra were recorded with Edinburgh spectrofluorimeter (model FLS920) for qualitative assessment of Sm. In addition, F-1 and F-2 were quantitatively analysed for Sm and Al by ICP-AES (M/s. Ametek GmBH, Spectro Arcos, Germany) which had polychromator with recirculation purging facility and hermetically sealed CCD detector.

2.5. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD)

The reaction product was analysed with XRD (M/s. GNR Explorer, Italy, Cu-Kα, λ=1.5406 Å). Samples were prepared and loaded on zero diffraction holder and covered with Scotch tape to avoid the effect of atmospheric oxygen and moisture during diffraction measurement.

2.6. Procedure for electrochemical analysis

After the conversion reaction, electrochemical studies were carried out with the reaction product in a
tapered and recrystallized alumina crucible (OD~44 mm, L~100 mm) which in turn was loaded inside a cylindrical vessel made of SS 304 L seamless pipe (ID~54 mm, L~500 mm) attached with CF-63 flange. Tungsten (W) and molybdenum (Mo) wire were used as working electrodes during electrochemical analysis (voltammetry). A high density (>1.8 g cm\(^{-3}\)) graphite rod (D~8 mm, L~50 mm) was attached to a SS wire and was used as counter electrode. The reference electrode was made of pyrex tube (ID~4 mm, OD~6 mm, and ~500 mm). Bottom portion of the tube was shaped like a bulb of thin membrane. LiCl-KCl-1wt.% AgCl along with Ag wire as loaded into the pyrex tube. The potentials reported in this work were measured with respect to this Ag/AgCl reference electrode.

The electrochemical cell was degassed at 673 K for 4 h under vacuum along with counter electrode and alumina crucible to remove any adsorbed moisture and gaseous impurities. It was loaded with electrolyte and reference electrode inside the inert glove box. After loading the cell, it was taken out of the glove box and was kept in a furnace at 773 K under Ar atmosphere. The temperature of the melt was measured with a chromel-alumel thermocouple (K-type) sheathed in an alumina tube by immersing it in the electrolyte. Voltammograms were recorded with Iviumstat.xre potentiostat (M/s Ivium Technologies, Netherlands).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Electrochemical analysis

TGA plots for commercially procured Sm\(_2\)O\(_3\) powder in the temperature range of 323-1273 K is shown in Fig. 1. The profile reveals three regions of mass loss at 513 K, 633 K and 873 K. The first mass loss is due to the adhered water molecule and second and third mass losses are due to the presence of hydroxide and carbonate impurities. To purify the commercial Sm\(_2\)O\(_3\), 10.0 g (per batch) of Sm\(_2\)O\(_3\) is heated in two steps. In the first step, heating is done at 520 K for 15 h and in the second step, heating is carried out at 935 K for 15 h. After drying, an average mass loss of ~1.0 g per batch is observed for Sm\(_2\)O\(_3\). In addition, 8.0 g (per batch) of AlCl\(_3\) is heated inside the glove box at 350 K for 20 h in Ar atmosphere. As a result, an average mass loss of ~2.0 g per batch is recorded for AlCl\(_3\).

As discussed in the experimental section, the conversion reaction is carried out inside the argon glove box pit at 773 K. The reaction of Sm\(_2\)O\(_3\) and AlCl\(_3\) is written as

\[
\text{Sm}_2\text{O}_3 + 2\text{AlCl}_3 \rightarrow 2\text{SmCl}_3 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3
\]  

The feasibility of the above chlorination reaction is verified by calculating the Gibbs free energy of the reaction (\(\Delta G^\circ\)) at 773 K using the reaction module of Fact Sage 6.4 software [35]. The value of \(\Delta G^\circ\) is found to be -403.35 kJ which confirms that the reaction is thermodynamically favourable at 773K.

According to the Eq. (1), SmCl\(_3\) and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) are the reaction products. Thus, LiCl-KCl melt contains Sm\(_2\)O\(_3\) + AlCl\(_3\) before equilibration, Sm\(_2\)O\(_3\) + SmCl\(_3\) + Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) + AlCl\(_3\) during equilibration and SmCl\(_3\) + Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) after equilibration. Among these products, SmCl\(_3\) and AlCl\(_3\) are soluble electroactive species in LiCl-KCl melt. Cyclic voltammograms (CV) and squarewave voltammograms (SWV) help in determining the redox viz. Sm\(^{3+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) species present in the electrolyte [36]. In the present study, CV and SWV are recorded in equilibration product of LiCl-KCl-Sm\(_2\)O\(_3\)-AlCl\(_3\) at 773 K to identify the presence of Sm\(^{3+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) ions in the electrolyte. The schematic of the electrochemical cell assembly is given in supplementary data (see supporting Fig. S2).

CVs are recorded using W (for converted SmCl\(_3\)), Mo (for commercial SmCl\(_3\)) as working electrode, graphite as counter electrode and Ag/AgCl as reference electrode. The line marked (a) in Fig. 2 shows...
the CV recorded for blank LiCl-KCl eutectic melt in the potential range +1.5 V to -2.45 V. The cathodic limit is due to reduction of Li$^+$ to Li metal at -2.35 V. The anodic limit of the melt is due to the oxidation of Cl$^-$ ion to Cl$_2$ gas at +1.15 V. The line marked (b) in Fig. 2 shows the representational behaviour of Sm$_2$O$_3$ in LiCl-KCl melt in the present work. CV recorded for LiCl-KCl-Sm$_2$O$_3$ melt (4.3 × 10$^{-5}$ mol cm$^{-3}$) in the potential range of -2.45 V to +0.5 V shows peaks only for Li$^+$/Li reduction and Li/Li$^+$ oxidation, which are similar to blank LiCl-KCl salt. No characteristic current peaks for Sm$^{3+}$ ions are observed in this voltammogram and this is ascribed to the absence of electrochemically active species in LiCl-KCl melt. As the chlorination process uses AlCl$_3$, the redox behaviour for Al$^{3+}$ ions are studied in LiCl-KCl electrolyte. The line marked (c) in Fig. 2 shows the CV recorded in LiCl-KCl-AlCl$_3$ melt (5.5 × 10$^{-4}$ mol cm$^{-3}$), which exhibits a cathodic peak at -1.02 V and an anodic peak at -0.80 V corresponding to Al$^{3+}$/Al redox couple. To study the redox behaviour, commercially available SmCl$_3$ is added to the LiCl-KCl melt at 773 K, stirred for 2 h and subsequently CVs are recorded. In Fig. 3 line marked (a) shows the CVs recorded in LiCl-KCl-SmCl$_3$ (7.9 × 10$^{-5}$ mol cm$^{-3}$) salt at 773 K on inert (Mo) electrode. A pair of peaks (A/A') is observed in the voltammogram around -0.8 V in addition to the peaks related to Li$^+$/Li reduction and Li/Li$^+$ oxidation. The cathodic peak at -0.80 V and corresponding anodic peak at -0.65 V are due to the reduction and oxidation of Sm$^{3+}$ ions in the LiCl-KCl electrolyte. In order to carry out a detail study on the conversion reaction, different amount of dried Sm$_2$O$_3$ is equilibrated with AlCl$_3$ at 773 K. The
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Table 1. Experimental conditions and analytical results for the conversion of Sm$_2$O$_3$ with varying amount of AlCl$_3$, duration of equilibration: 6 h, LiCl-KCl: 30 g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>AlCl$_3$/g</th>
<th>Sm$_2$O$_3$/g</th>
<th>Conversion efficiency of Sm$_2$O$_3$ (%) from UV-Vis</th>
<th>Conversion efficiency of Sm$_2$O$_3$ (%) from ICP-AES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-1</td>
<td>stoichiometric × 1</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>67.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>66.5 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2</td>
<td>stoichiometric × 2</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>85.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>83.3 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-3</td>
<td>stoichiometric × 3</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>83.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>84.1 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Experimental conditions and analytical results for the conversion of Sm$_2$O$_3$ with varying duration of reaction, LiCl-KCl: 50 g, amount of AlCl$_3$: double the stoichiometric.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Time/ h</th>
<th>Sm$_2$O$_3$/g</th>
<th>AlCl$_3$/g</th>
<th>Conversion efficiency of Sm$_2$O$_3$ (%) from UV-Vis</th>
<th>Conversion efficiency of Sm$_2$O$_3$ (%) from ICP-AES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-2-1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>94.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>93.7 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2-2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>95.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>95.2 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>94.6 ± 2.0</td>
<td>94.5 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2-4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>94.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>94.1 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2-5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>96.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>95.3 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2-6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>95.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>94.3 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The reaction products of the equilibrated LiCl-KCl-SmCl₃ melt are given in Table 1-3. The concentrations of Sm are determined with UV-Vis spectrophotometry analyses and are discussed in detail in the latter section. At this point, a comparative CV study is carried out with 3.46 g of Sm₂O₃ equilibrated with 5.31 g of AlCl₃ in 100 g of LiCl-KCl for 6 h at 773 K. Thus, the CV recorded in equilibrated LiCl-KCl-SmCl₃ (3.08 × 10⁻⁴ mol cm⁻³) melt is shown with line marked (b) in Fig. 3. A pair of peaks (A₁/A', 1) is observed in the voltammogram around -0.80 V and the cathodic peak at -0.78 V represents the Sm³⁺/Sm²⁺ reduction in the melt similar to LiCl-KCl-SmCl₃ (commercial) electrolyte. This confirms the conversion of Sm₂O₃ to SmCl₃ in LiCl-KCl melt. Interestingly, the absence of cathodic peak at -0.9 V corresponding to Al³⁺/Al⁰ indicates complete consumption of AlCl₃ used for the chlorination of Sm₂O₃.

CVs are recorded on LiCl-KCl-SmCl₃ (commercial, 6.5 × 10⁻⁵ mol cm⁻³) melt in the potential range 0 to -1.2 V at different scan rates ranging from 75 to 800 mV s⁻¹ (see Fig. 4a). It is observed that the peak potentials do not change with the scan rates. The shape of the voltammograms resemble closely to reversible soluble-soluble system in the melt. Fig. 4b shows the CVs recorded in equilibrated LiCl-KCl-SmCl₃ (~3.08 × 10⁻⁴ mol cm⁻³) melt at different scan rates from 50 to 500 mV s⁻¹ at 773 K. The number of electrons transferred in the reduction process is calculated using the equation

\[ |E_p - E_{p/2}| = \frac{2.2RT}{nF} \]  

(2)

where \(E_p\) is cathodic peak potential (V), \(E_{p/2}\) is the mid-point (half-wave) potential, \(R\) is the gas constant, \(T\) is the temperature in Kelvin, \(n\) is the number of electrons transferred, and \(F\) is Faraday’s constant.

---

### Table 3. Experimental conditions and analytical results for the conversion of Sm₂O₃ with varying amount of LiCl-KCl, amount of AlCl₃: double the stoichiometric.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>LiCl-KCl/g</th>
<th>Sm₂O₃/g</th>
<th>Time of equilibration/h</th>
<th>AlCl₃/g</th>
<th>Conversion efficiency of Sm₂O₃ (%) from UV-Vis</th>
<th>Conversion efficiency of Sm₂O₃ (%) from ICP-AES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-2-7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>85.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>83.3 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2-8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>94.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>95.3 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2-9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>94.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>94.8 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2-10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>94.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>95.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2-S</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>64.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>65.9 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

![Fig. 3. Comparison of the cyclic voltammograms of (a) LiCl-KCl-SmCl₃ (Commercial, 7.9 × 10⁻⁵ mol cm⁻³), Electrode area: 0.47 cm², with (b) LiCl-KCl-SmCl₃ after equilibration of Sm₂O₃ + AlCl₃ in LiCl-KCl melt (SmCl₃: 3.08 × 10⁻⁴ mol cm⁻³), Electrode area: 0.26 cm²; Temperature: 773 K, scan rate: 100 mV s⁻¹.](image-url)
cathodic half peak potential (V), $R$ is the universal gas constant (8.314 J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$), $T$ is the absolute temperature (K) and $F$ is the Faraday constant (96485 C mol$^{-1}$).

Details of the peak analyses are given in Table 4 and it is seen that the difference between cathodic peak potential $E_p$ (V) and cathodic half peak potential $E_{p/2}$ (V) remains almost same for each scan rate. The value of $n$ has been estimated using Eq. (2) and listed in the Table 4. The number of electron transfer for LiCl-KCl-SmCl$_3$ (commercial) is found to be 1.08 ± 0.03, which points to the fact that Sm$^{3+}$ reduction is a one electron transfer process and the reduction is expressed as:

$$\text{Sm}^{3+} + 1e^- \rightarrow \text{Sm}^{2+}$$

It is not feasible to study the Sm$^{2+}$/Sm redox system in this electrochemical window as the reduction potential of Sm$^{2+}$/Sm is more negative than Li$^+$/Li [37]. Similar observations have been reported by Cordoba and Castrillejo et al. [38,39].

The number of electron transfer for equilibrated LiCl-KCl-SmCl$_3$ is calculated as 1.02 ± 0.02 which indicates that Sm$^{3+}$ is reduced to Sm$^{2+}$. Identical number of electron transfer is calculated for the commercially procured SmCl$_3$ (6.5 × 10$^{-5}$ mol cm$^{-3}$). The cathodic peak current of CV is related to the potential scan rate according to the Randles-Sevcik equation [40]:

$$I_p = -0.4463AC_0(nF)^{1/2}(Dv/RT)^{1/2} \tag{3}$$

Where, $I_p$ is the cathodic peak current (in Amp), $A$ is the electrode area (cm$^2$), $C_0$ is the solute concentration (mol cm$^{-3}$), $D$ is the diffusion coefficient (cm$^2$s$^{-1}$) and $v$ is the potential sweep rate (V s$^{-1}$).

In Fig. 5a, the $I_p$ is plotted against $\sqrt{v}$ for LiCl-KCl-SmCl$_3$ (commercially procured SmCl$_3$, $C_0$ ~ 6.5 × 10$^{-5}$ mol cm$^{-3}$) and for equilibrated LiCl-KCl-SmCl$_3$ (Sm$_2$O$_3$-3.08 × 10$^{-4}$ mol cm$^{-3}$) melt. The linear dependency of $I_p$ on $\sqrt{v}$ suggests that the reduction is reversible and diffusion controlled. The values of $D$ are calculated for LiCl-KCl-SmCl$_3$ (commercially procured SmCl$_3$, $C_0$ ~ 6.5 × 10$^{-5}$ mol cm$^{-3}$) and for equilibrated LiCl-KCl-SmCl$_3$ (Sm$_2$O$_3$-3.08 × 10$^{-4}$ mol cm$^{-3}$).
cm\(^{-3}\)) melt from the slope of the \(I_p\) vs \(\sqrt{\nu}\) plot (Fig. 5a) at 773 K. The values are found to be \(1.23 \times 10^{-5}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) (a) and \(1.19 \times 10^{-5}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) (b), respectively. So the \(D\) value for Sm\(^{3+}\) ions of the equilibrated SmCl\(_3\) is very close to that of LiCl-KCl-SmCl\(_3\) (commercial) system and is also in good agreement with the report of Castrillejo et al. (~1.04 \(\times 10^{-5}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)) [39] and Cordoba et al. (~1.30 \(\times 10^{-5}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)) [38].

The plot of cathodic and anodic peak potentials (\(E_{pC}\) and \(E_{pA}\)) vs log \(\nu\) in Fig. 5b shows that the peak potentials values do not change with increasing scan rate. From the above plots (Fig. 4 and 5) and discussions, it is evident that the reduction of Sm\(^{3+}\) to Sm\(^{2+}\) is a reversible process controlled by the diffusion of the Sm\(^{3+}\) ions in the solution. The relative rate of electron transfer is greater than that of mass transfer and Nernstian equilibrium is established at the electrode surface immediately upon any change in applied potential.

Equilibrated LiCl-KCl-SmCl\(_3\) is compared with LiCl-KCl-SmCl\(_3\) (commercial) by SWV method. SW voltammograms are recorded for LiCl-KCl-SmCl\(_3\) (commercial, 6.5 \(\times 10^{-5}\) mol cm\(^{-3}\)) as well as in equilibrated LiCl-KCl-SmCl\(_3\) (~3.08 \(\times 10^{-4}\) mol cm\(^{-3}\)), Electrode area: 0.29 cm\(^2\) and (b) LiCl-KCl-SmCl\(_3\) melt produced after equilibration of Sm\(_2\)O\(_3\) + AlCl\(_3\) in LiCl-KCl (SmCl\(_3\): 3.08 \(\times 10^{-4}\) mol cm\(^{-3}\)), Electrode area: 0.26 cm\(^2\); amplitude: 10 mV; Frequency: 12 Hz; Temperature: 773 K.

![Fig. 6. Comparison of Square wave voltammograms of (a) LiCl-KCl-SmCl\(_3\) (commercial, 6.5 \(\times 10^{5}\) mol cm\(^{-3}\)), Electrode area: 0.29 cm\(^2\) and (b) LiCl-KCl-SmCl\(_3\) melt produced after equilibration of Sm\(_2\)O\(_3\) + AlCl\(_3\) in LiCl-KCl (SmCl\(_3\): 3.08 \(\times 10^{-4}\) mol cm\(^{-3}\)), Electrode area: 0.26 cm\(^2\); amplitude: 10 mV, Frequency: 12 Hz, Temperature: 773 K.](image)

![Fig. 7. Plot of reduction peak differential current vs square root of pulse frequency for LiCl-KCl-SmCl\(_3\) (commercial) and LiCl-KCl-SmCl\(_3\) melt produced after equilibration of Sm\(_2\)O\(_3\) + AlCl\(_3\) in LiCl-KCl (SmCl\(_3\): 3.08 \(\times 10^{-4}\) mol cm\(^{-3}\)), Electrode area: 0.26 cm\(^2\); amplitude: 10 mV, Temperature: 773 K.](image)

Osteryoung and Ramaley et al [41,42] showed that for the ideal cases involving reversible system, the differential peak current \(\delta i_p\) is directly proportional to the concentration of the electroactive species and square root of pulse frequency (\(\sqrt{\nu}\)) following the Eq. (4) [43]

\[
\delta i_p = nFAC_0 \left(1 + \frac{1}{7} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta F}{\pi}} \right)
\]

where, \(\Delta F = \exp\left(\frac{nF\Delta E}{2RT}\right)\)

Where \(\Delta E\) is the amplitude of square wave potential, \(A\) is the electrode area (cm\(^2\)), \(C_0\) is the solute concentration (mol cm\(^{-3}\)), \(D\) is the diffusion coefficient (cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)).

Fig. 7 shows the plot of \(\delta i_p\) vs \(\sqrt{\nu}\) for LiCl-KCl-SmCl\(_3\) (commercial, 6.5 \(\times 10^{-5}\) mol cm\(^{-3}\)) and equilibrated LiCl-KCl-SmCl\(_3\) (~3.08 \(\times 10^{-4}\) mol cm\(^{-3}\)), respectively. Linear dependency of \(\delta i_p\) vs \(\sqrt{\nu}\) is observed in both the cases, implying the reversibility of the process. The number of electrons involved in
the electrode reaction is determined by measuring the half-peak width $W_{1/2}$ (V) in the linear region of the above plot from the Eq. (5)

$$W_{1/2} = 3.52\frac{RT}{nF}$$ (5)

For a SWV in LiCl-KCl-SmCl$_3$ (commercial, $6.5 \times 10^{-5}$ mol cm$^{-3}$) at frequency of 12 Hz and amplitude of 30 mV, the value of $n$ is estimated as 0.98 ± 0.03, which confirms the fact that Sm$^{3+}$ is reduced to Sm$^{2+}$. The number of electron transfer for equilibrated LiCl-KCl-SmCl$_3$ (~$3.08 \times 10^{-4}$ mol cm$^{-3}$) is determined as 0.97 ± 0.02 for a SWV at frequency of 12 Hz and amplitude of 40 mV, which is similar to commercial SmCl$_3$ reduction and reflects the reduction of Sm$^{3+}$ to Sm$^{2+}$. The values of $n$ determined with Eq. (2) and (5) are very close (see Table 4). In the process, CV and SWV studies confirm the presence of Sm$^{3+}$/Sm$^{2+}$ redox couple in LiCl-KCl validating the conversion of Sm$^2$O$_3$ to SmCl$_3$.

3.2. X-ray diffraction

Powder XRD analyses are performed with the products obtained after conversion of Sm$^2$O$_3$ to SmCl$_3$ to ascertain the conversion reaction. XRD of LiCl-KCl salt (Table 3, E-2-10) after 6 h of equilibration of Sm$^2$O$_3$ and AlCl$_3$ (Fig. 8a) shows the diffraction peaks for only LiCl and KCl. Hence, another experiment is carried out in absence of LiCl-KCl (Table 3, E-2-S) for eliminating the salt matrix effect in XRD pattern. The reaction is carried out by placing homogenously mixed Sm$_2$O$_3$ and AlCl$_3$ (1:1.5 wt. ratio) for 2 h at 773 K followed by cooling and grinding. The procedure is repeated every 2 h and total 12 h of heating is performed. The XRD pattern of the product is shown in Fig. 8b where presence of SmCl$_3$ is observed. Powder XRD analysis of the product also proves the conversion of Sm$_2$O$_3$ to SmCl$_3$ with the aid of AlCl$_3$.

3.3. Fluorescence and UV-Vis spectroscopic analysis

Conversion reactions of Sm$_2$O$_3$ to SmCl$_3$ are carried out in LiCl-KCl media using different concentrations of AlCl$_3$ at 773 K (see Table 1), duration of the reaction (see Table 2) and the amount of LiCl-KCl (see Table 3).

In the present study, sample F-1 (water dissolved part) is analysed by fluorescence spectroscopic method to ascertain the conversion of Sm$_2$O$_3$ to SmCl$_3$. Fig 9 shows the emission spectra recorded with the F-1 (marked a, Table 2, E-2-6) in the wavelength range 530-675 nm with an excitation wavelength ($\lambda_{ex}$) of 402 nm and compared with the standard SmCl$_3$ (commercial) solution in the same

Fig. 8. Powder X-ray diffraction pattern of (a) equilibrated product of LiCl-KCl-Sm$_2$O$_3$-AlCl$_3$ and (b) equilibrated product of Sm$_2$O$_3$+ AlCl$_3$ without LiCl-KCl.

Fig. 9. Fluorescence emission spectra of (a) SmCl$_3$ produced after the equilibration of Sm$_2$O$_3$ + AlCl$_3$ in LiCl-KCl melt (Sm$_2$O$_3$: 1.57 g, AlCl$_3$: 2.40 g) and (b) standard SmCl$_3$ (commercial) solution in 0.01 M HNO$_3$. 
There are three emission peaks (in both the cases) located at 559 nm, 594 nm and 643 nm correspond to the Sm$^{3+}$ intra-4f transition from the excited levels to lower levels, the $^4G_{5/2} \rightarrow ^6H_{5/2}$, $^4G_{5/2} \rightarrow ^6H_{7/2}$ and $^4G_{5/2} \rightarrow ^6H_{9/2}$ transitions, respectively. It is seen from the figure that the characteristic emission peaks of Sm in the filtrate are well matched with that of the standard and it is in line with the earlier studies on fluorescence spectra of Sm$^{3+}$ ions in molten LiCl-KCl as well as in different phosphors [44,45]. This clearly indicates to the presence of SmCl$_3$ in the filtrate and confirms the conversion of Sm$_2$O$_3$ to SmCl$_3$.

Fig. 10 shows absorption spectrum recorded for F-1 (marked a in the plot, Table 2, E-2-6) over 330-450 nm. For comparison, spectrum is recorded for standard SmCl$_3$ (commercial) solutions and is shown in Fig. 10 (marked b). Several absorption peaks are observed between 330-450 nm correspond to the typical f-f transition of Sm$^{3+}$ ions. The peaks centered at 345, 363, 391, 402, 417 and 441 nm are attributed to $^6H_{5/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{5/2}$, $^6H_{5/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{11/2}$, $^6H_{5/2} \rightarrow ^4P_{7/2}$, $^6H_{5/2} \rightarrow ^4D_{5/2}$, $^6H_{5/2} \rightarrow ^4K_{11/2}$, $^6H_{5/2} \rightarrow ^4G_{9/2}$ + $^4I_{15/2}$ transitions [44]. It is seen from the figure that the characteristic absorption peaks of Sm in the filtrate are well matched with that of the standard. This clearly indicates the presence of SmCl$_3$ in the filtrate. The peak absorbance of the strongest absorption peak at 402 nm, which corresponds to $^6H_{5/2} \rightarrow ^4K_{11/2}$ transition is used here for quantification of SmCl$_3$. Absorbance spectra are measured by varying concentrations (0.250-10 mg mL$^{-1}$) of standard SmCl$_3$ (commercial) solutions.

Fig. 11 shows a linear calibration plot for standard SmCl$_3$ (commercial) solutions in the range 0.250-10 mg mL$^{-1}$ used for quantitative analysis (marked a). As the filtrate always contains LiCl-KCl salt as matrix and some amount of unreacted AlCl$_3$, the effect of them is examined by plotting calibration plots in the presence of salt and AlCl$_3$. Fig. 11 (marked b) shows the calibration plot of SmCl$_3$ in presence of 150 mg mL$^{-1}$ salt and 9 mg mL$^{-1}$ of AlCl$_3$. These concentrations of salt and AlCl$_3$ are chosen as the concentration of salt and AlCl$_3$ in the filtrate is in the range 120-150 mg mL$^{-1}$ and 4-9 mg mL$^{-1}$ (assuming 50% consumption of AlCl$_3$), respectively. The uncertainty in each data point is ≤ 2%. It is seen that the slope of both the plots remains almost same (within ~ 0.3%). This clearly indicates that the absorbance values do not vary for salt up to 150 mg mL$^{-1}$ and AlCl$_3$ up to 9 mg mL$^{-1}$. Absorbance values are recorded in the F-1 (Table 1: sample E-1 to E-3, Table 2: sample E-2-1 to E-2-6, Table 3: sample E-2-7 to E-2-S) as well as in the F-2 and from the calibration plot (see Fig. 11, marked b), the concentration of Sm is determined in both the filtrates. The analysis of F-1 shows the amount of SmCl$_3$ which is converted from Sm$_2$O$_3$ after chlorination.
Using the absorbance values in F-1, amount of SmCl$_3$ produced after equilibration in LiCl-KCl is calculated from the calibration plot (Fig 11, marked b). The conversion efficiency is calculated using the equation below

$$
\text{conversion efficiency (\%)} = \frac{\text{calculated amount of SmCl}_3 \text{ in filtrate F-1}}{\text{amount of SmCl}_3 \text{ assuming full conversion}} \times 100
$$

When stoichiometric amount of AlCl$_3$ are added in the reaction (Table 1, E-1), the conversion efficiency of Sm$_2$O$_3$ is found to be 67.4 (± 0.9) wt.%. Some amount of AlCl$_3$ loss may have occurred due to the sublimation of AlCl$_3$ as white vapours are observed when it is added into the melt at 773 K [46]. To improve the efficiency of the conversion process, the amount of AlCl$_3$ is increased from the stoichiometric amount in the conversion reactions. 85.3 (± 1.5) and 83.6 (± 1.9) wt.% conversion is found when of AlCl$_3$ is double and triple of the stoichiometric amount, respectively. The conversion efficiencies obtained by UV-Vis spectroscopic analyses are compared with ICP-AES analysis (Table 1) and these are found to be in good agreement.

The amount of AlCl$_3$ present in the melt after conversion experiments are analysed by ICP-AES. The analysis shows absence of Al in sample F-1 when stoichiometric amount of AlCl$_3$ is used. This reflects that the stoichiometric amount has been utilized fully and may not be sufficient for full conversion of Sm$_2$O$_3$. When amount of AlCl$_3$ is increased, the analysis shows the presence of ~ 6 wt.% and ~ 20 wt.% of initial AlCl$_3$ in the F-1 for reactions with double and triple of the stoichiometric amount of AlCl$_3$, respectively. This reflects that in experiment with triple of stoichiometric of AlCl$_3$, the unreacted amount of AlCl$_3$ in the melt only increases, but fails to increase the conversion efficiency. Hence, double the stoichiometric amount of AlCl$_3$ is sufficient for efficient conversion reaction.

To improve the conversion efficiency further, experiments are performed with 50 g of LiCl-KCl with double the stoichiometric amount of AlCl$_3$ and duration of reaction is varied from 0.25 h to 12 h (Table 2, sample E-2-1 to E-2-6). Fig. 12 shows the plot of conversion ratio of Sm$_2$O$_3$ in salt phase (UV-Vis analysis) vs. duration of reaction. It shows that the reaction reaches 94.8 (± 0.9) wt.% of conversion of Sm$_2$O$_3$ within 15 min (Table 2, E-2-1). Almost same conversion efficiency is observed even after increasing the duration of reaction up to 12 h. It is noted that the values of the conversion efficiencies are close to the values obtained from ICP-AES analysis (Table 2). As the conversion reaction reaches ~ 95 wt.% efficiency within 15 min, it may affect the conversion process of other lanthanides and actinides when Sm$_2$O$_3$ is present in mixture of oxides. Analysis of Sm in sample F-2 shows 3.3 – 5.0 wt.% Sm$_2$O$_3$ present as unreacted in the melt after conversion reactions, which corresponds to 98-99 wt.% of total Sm$_2$O$_3$ used for experiments.

It is observed that the amount of LiCl-KCl salt also has impact on the conversion process. When 30 g of LiCl-KCl salt is used for chlorination reaction (Table 3, sample E-2-7), the oxide particles are found to be floating on the top surface of the molten salt and stirring is difficult in the colloidal mixture. As the reactants do not mix properly in less amount of molten salt, adherence of the reactants has been observed on the side of the crucible leading to decrease in process yield and therefore, maximum ~ 83-85 wt.% conversion of oxide is achieved. To get further improvement in the conversion, the quantity of solvent (salt) is increased and equilibration reactions are conducted using double the stoichiometric amount of AlCl$_3$. Fig. 13 shows the plot of conversion ratio of Sm$_2$O$_3$ in salt phase (UV-Vis analysis) as a function of mass of LiCl-KCl salt. It can be seen that about 95 wt.% con-
version is achieved when amount of salt is raised to 50 g. No further improvement is recorded even when salt amount is raised to 100 g. The ICP-AES analysis also reflects the same and the values obtained are provided in the Table 3.

This indicates that 30 g of LiCl-KCl salt is insufficient for proper mixing of the reactants and hence the reaction cannot attain maximum efficiency. Conversion reaction is carried out in absence of LiCl-KCl (Table 3, sample E-2-S). Analysis of the product shows around 64.5 wt.% of samarium oxides has been converted to chlorides. In absence of any medium, AlCl$_3$ vapourised at much higher rate than in dissolved condition in molten LiCl-KCl. As solvent increases the chance of collisions of reactants, conversion efficiency of oxides in LiCl-KCl is higher than the reaction in absence of LiCl-KCl.

4. Conclusions

Conversion of Sm$_2$O$_3$ to SmCl$_3$ in LiCl-KCl was successfully achieved with the aid of AlCl$_3$ at 773 K. The presence of SmCl$_3$ in the reaction product was confirmed by electrochemical methods viz. CV and SWV. The cathodic peak at -0.78 V in cyclic voltammetry reflected the presence of Sm$^{3+}$ in LiCl-KCl melt. The reduction was found to be single electron transfer process from both CV and SWV methods. Diffusion coefficient of Sm$^{3+}$ ions at 773 K was calculated from cyclic voltammograms and compared with commercial SmCl$_3$ in LiCl-KCl melt. Efficiency of conversion reaction was determined from UV-Vis and ICP-AES methods. About 95 wt.% of conversion was observed in the conversion reaction when amount of AlCl$_3$ was double the stoichiometric amount and 50 g of LiCl-KCl were used. 15 minutes of equilibration time was found to be sufficient to reach the maximum conversion efficiency.
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Fig. 13. Plot of conversion ratio of Sm$_2$O$_3$ in LiCl-KCl melt vs. mass of LiCl-KCl.